

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF
TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE
Monday, January 23, 2023
8:30 a.m.
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

TERRI A. HOURIGAN, CSR NO. 3838, CCRR
FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
350 WEST FIRST STREET, ROOM 4311
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 894-2849

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

3 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER and HAMPTON
4 BY: RENA ANDOH
5 Attorney at Law
6 30 Rockefeller Plaza
7 New York, New York 10112

8 FOR THE DEFENDANT: SKYRYSE, INC.

9 LATHAM and WATKINS LLP
10 BY: DOUGLAS E. LUMISH
11 Attorney at Law
12 140 Scott Drive
13 Menlo Park, California 94025

14 FOR THE DEFENDANT: ROBERT ALIN PILKINGTON

15 WINGET SPADOFORA and SCHWARTZBERG LLP
16 BY: ANTHONY D. GREEN
17 Attorney at Law
18 45 Broadway, 32nd Floor
19 New York, New York 10006

1 **LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 2023**

2 **8:30 a.m.**

3 **--oo--**

4

5

6

7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come
8 to order. This United States District Court is again in
9 session.

10 THE COURT: Let me call the matter of *Moog, Inc.,*
11 *versus Skyryse.*

12 For the plaintiff, we have? Is there someone for
13 the plaintiff?

14 Is there anybody who is supposed to appear?

15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: They should be appearing on
16 the line.

17 Ms. Andoh, are you there?

18 THE COURT: Let me ask counsel for the defense on
19 the phone, is there a defense counsel?

20 So it's an issue we're having here. Give me a
21 second.

22 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay.

23 THE COURT: On the matter of *Moog Inc., versus*
24 *Skyryse.*

25 For the plaintiff, we have?

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't know what it is.

2 THE COURT: Do we have anybody for the defense?

3 MR. LUMISH: Yes, Your Honor. This is Doug Lumish
4 speaking for Skyryse and several other folks that we identified
5 before the call started.

6 THE COURT: Let me ask again, is there anybody here
7 for the plaintiff?

8 MS. ANDOH: Sorry, Your Honor. This is Rena Andoh
9 for plaintiff. I misunderstood your instruction. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We are here for a status conference. I
11 saw the status conference report.

12 Let me ask just a couple of questions. There was
13 a proposed order that was filed on or about March the 11th of
14 2022, it's Docket Number 25. That proposed order and
15 stipulation was signed off by the Judge; is that correct?

16 MS. ANDOH: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. And I guess the parties in the
18 joint status report were basically informing me of the
19 positions of both sides and the past procedures that were done
20 by the Court in the Eastern District of New York prior to the
21 matter being transferred to here.

22 Let me just ask, there was in the report -- the
23 joint status report is Docket No. 341 -- there is a listing for
24 four, I guess, pending motions, and then the parties were also
25 indicating their intention in regards to certain other motions.

1 What I would just simply do is ask about the
2 scheduling of the -- putting back on calendar the pending
3 motions that previously were filed.

4 Is that something that needs to be done?

5 MS. ANDOH: So, Your Honor, it depends. So the --
6 sorry, this is Ms. Andoh speaking for Moog.

7 The current status of discovery is such that most
8 discovery in the case has been stayed pending the completion of
9 Moog identifying its trade secrets in line with an order that
10 was issued by the Court back in August.

11 So most of these motions that are pending were
12 suspended by that order and are still suspended at this time
13 because the trade secret identification has not yet occurred.

14 So I think that from Moog's perspective, what we
15 really need to do is complete our trade secret identification,
16 which we just actually received additional materials that we
17 needed for that process last week from a third party.

18 So we are certainly in position to issue that
19 trade secret identification pursuant to a timeline set by the
20 Court, and at that point, we would argue that the pending
21 motions can then be addressed.

22 THE COURT: Let me ask, I presume that earlier there
23 was a confidentiality agreement signed off on by everybody; is
24 that correct?

25 MS. ANDOH: That is right, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Let me ask Moog, how long is it going to
2 take for those trade secret identifications to be provided to
3 defendants?

4 MS. ANDOH: So, Your Honor, I think if the Court is
5 willing to give us the right to supplement, because there are
6 still outstanding discovery that has not been made fully
7 available yet; for example, we just received a number of third
8 party productions from Skyryse's employee represented by Latham
9 on Friday, so if we're able to produce based on what we already
10 have, I believe we could submit the trade secret identification
11 document within the next three to four weeks.

12 I think what we would ask is that we would be
13 able to retain the right to supplement it, again, because we're
14 still waiting for certain materials to be made fully available
15 to us so we can complete that process.

16 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear a response from
17 the defense. What does the defense say about all of that?

18 MR. LUMISH: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Doug
19 Lumish speaking only for Skyryse. There is separate counsel
20 for the individual defendants.

21 I think we agree in large part, which is -- I'm
22 not happy to say there hasn't been a lot of that in this case
23 -- we do think that the first thing should happen is a trade
24 secret identification, and that really everything else should
25 be held in abeyance until that happens.

1 We have provided more discovery than could
2 possibly be needed already, and so we have been waiting a long
3 time for this trade secret identification.

4 We would ask Your Honor to order that occur
5 without any further delay.

6 The only concern I have is the scope of
7 supplementation. We don't object to supplement if it's based
8 on something that wasn't already produced or that they don't
9 already have, Moog, in their possession, custody, and control.

10 But we have, for the entirety of the case, been
11 concerned about the hindsight problem that Your Honor would be
12 familiar with in the trade secret litigation, where the
13 plaintiff roots around in the defendant's discovery, then
14 identifies trade secrets with hindsight saying that is my
15 stuff, instead of going the opposite direction, which we hoped
16 would happen in this lawsuit.

17 While we wouldn't object to some level of
18 supplemental if it were based on something new that was already
19 not already produced or in their possession, we would like to
20 reserve our right to object to supplementation that goes beyond
21 that.

22 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from other
23 defense counsel. Is there any other defendant that wants to
24 say something on the record?

25 MR. GREEN: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. This is

1 Anthony Green on behalf of the individual defendants.

2 I'm in agreement with Mr. Lumish that we do
3 believe that the identification should happen without further
4 delay, and if there is any supplementation, there should be a
5 good basis for it, and it should be based on something that is
6 not currently in their possession, custody, and control.

7 THE COURT: All right. I would presume that the
8 trade secret information would be in their possession and
9 control, it's just that they don't know whether or not those
10 trade secrets are the trade secrets that are going to be
11 involved in this case.

12 Am I correct on that?

13 MS. ANDOH: Yes, Your Honor. This is Ms. Andoh.
14 There are a few different points on that.

15 First of all, this case is relatively unique,
16 certainly in my experience, because both, the volume of the
17 information that was taken, we're talking about 1.4 million
18 files that were stolen that are part of this case, and also the
19 nature by which they were stolen, namely, that a large number
20 of the files -- there were 130,000 of them -- were spoliated by
21 one of the individual defendants at the time she stole them.

22 So in a very strange turn of events, the most
23 complete copy of the trade secret sets that we are aware of
24 that is currently in existence is actually on a hard drive that
25 is not in Moog's possession.

1 It actually belongs to the individual defendant,
2 Alin Pilkington, 1.2 million files, and that device is
3 currently housed at this mutual forensic center, iDS, that you
4 saw referenced in the parties' joint status report.

5 So when we're talking about this idea of the
6 trade secrets being uniquely within Moog's possession and
7 ability, it's not necessarily as clear cut as that, and it's
8 not worth getting into the weeds on that on this particular
9 call.

10 Again, I think that we are in a position to
11 identify what we intend to rely upon, for the most part, in
12 pursuing a preliminary injunction at this point.

13 The order that was issued that permitted the
14 trade secret identification also made clear that this was for
15 the preliminary injunction phase of the case only, and that the
16 purpose of this, at least in part, was to try to focus on the
17 trade secrets that we would be presenting in support of a
18 preliminary injunction motion to a number and size and clarity
19 that was manageable for a Court in context with such a hearing,
20 and that it was without waiver of our right to be able to
21 supplement after the preliminary injunction fees.

22 I will also just note that this idea of this
23 hindsight argument, that was an argument that was raised by
24 Skyryse and the individual defendants initially when they made
25 the motion for trade secret identification, and the Western

1 District rejected that in allowing us to actually have access
2 to these materials before we issued our trade secret
3 identification.

4 So I think we -- I think that we are in a
5 position to do this. I think that we should be able to provide
6 trade secret identification on the very large volume of
7 materials, and that it will satisfy the Court.

8 MR. LUMISH: May I say something, Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: Sorry, is that Mr. Lumish?

10 MR. LUMISH: Yes, sir. Thank you, Your Honor.

11 So two points, if I might.

12 One, is this case is actually fairly conventional
13 in its fact pattern, two employees left their company and came
14 to ours.

15 They are accused of bringing hard drive work and
16 materials with them. That is not some earth-shatteringly new
17 fact pattern that needs special treatment. They have had
18 access to those documents for over a year.

19 They say they don't have them, but they are at
20 iDS, this neutral discovery vendor where they can fully -- they
21 Moog -- can fully inspect those documents. They have their own
22 copies.

23 There has been over a year of opportunity to sift
24 through that and identify what they think is in our possession
25 or in the individual defendants' possession, so there is no

1 basis for any further delay.

2 The second thing I wanted to address, Your Honor,
3 was the notion that the trade secret identification should
4 somehow only be for a preliminary injunction motion.

5 We strenuously disagree with that. We have an
6 interrogatory that is out. It's a broad interrogatory that
7 asks for narrative, an explanation of every trade secret they
8 claim was taken by Skyryse, and I believe the individual
9 defendants have the same interrogatory.

10 We want that answered in full and not with some
11 caveat that this is the only ones we are going to seek a PI on
12 and we will tell you all of the other ones for trial at some
13 other date when we feel like it.

14 We should get a full response of that
15 interrogatory before anything happens, in our view.

16 THE COURT: I understand the latter point, but I
17 think the plaintiff is conceding to the extent that they are
18 going to be providing you supposedly within the next three
19 weeks the trade secrets that are going to be the subject of
20 this action.

21 The only thing they are reserving is that if
22 their later discovery somehow produces additional trade
23 secrets, in which case they would supplement, but they would
24 only supplement on a basis of a motion and in that situation
25 they would utilize, I would presume, like, a Rule-16 bases for

1 allowing those additional trade secrets to be interjected into
2 the case.

3 Let me ask plaintiff's counsel, that is my
4 understanding; am I correct?

5 MS. ANDOH: Your Honor, the way I understand the
6 order from the Western District of this case, this issue was
7 already litigated substantially. I do not agree with
8 Mr. Lumish's assessment of what the Court's order actually
9 says.

10 The purpose of the trade secret identification
11 was for the preliminary injunction phase, and so we agree we
12 would not be sandbagging the defendants with any additional
13 trade secrets that we do not identify for purposes of the
14 preliminary injunction hearing, but all of the discovery that
15 is currently being conducted in this case has been limited by
16 stipulation --

17 THE COURT: Let me stop you for a second. I don't
18 understand that position in the sense that it seems to me --
19 this is the reason why I asked about the March 11th, 2022
20 order, because it seems to me, even though it was crouched in
21 terms of kind of almost like being a TRO-type situation, given
22 the scope of it, it seems to me if you are going to make a
23 motion for preliminary injunction, I don't know what else you
24 are going to seek -- much more than what is already covered by
25 that order in the sense that it precludes the use of certain

1 information, et cetera, et cetera.

2 And so, in essence, you would utilize that order
3 or continue that order, but identify more firmly the trade
4 secrets that are the subject matter of that order, so I kind of
5 agree with the defense.

6 I mean, in other words, once those trade secrets
7 are identified, then for purposes of litigating the preliminary
8 injunction, we have to make a determination as to whether or
9 not in fact those items are sufficiently established as trade
10 secrets, and if they are, the use of those would be limited by
11 the preliminary injunction order.

12 MS. ANDOH: Well, Your Honor, I think there are two
13 different issues that are getting conflated here. If I'm the
14 one that is confused, I apologize.

15 The question of what relief we're seeking in
16 conjunction with the preliminary injunction is not something
17 that is specific to this trade secret identification in that,
18 at the end of the day, the stipulated March 11 order, which we
19 would be looking for something similar to in respect to the
20 preliminary injunction, actually Moog's known public
21 information.

22 The question of what a trade secret is for
23 purposes of the misappropriate claim is a different analysis
24 than the question of what they are restricted from using as far
25 as the rest is concerned.

1 I just want to make sure we're not conflating
2 those two issues.

3 In terms of us demonstrating a likelihood of
4 success on the merits on our misappropriation claim, we're
5 prepared to move forward with the subset of the materials that
6 were stolen, identifying them as trade secrets at this point in
7 time and putting on evidence to demonstrate that
8 misappropriation.

9 Because of the volume of material involved and
10 the number of military programs involved, we have not at this
11 point in time planned to present all 20 programs in context of
12 a preliminary injunction motion.

13 Therefore, we're not doing a line-by-line
14 identification of all 20 of those programs in context with the
15 trade secret ID.

16 As we understood the point of the order, the
17 point of the order was to place defendants on sufficient notice
18 of the trade secrets that we intended to use that the
19 demonstration for likelihood of success on the merits on our
20 misappropriation claim in order to be able to obtain
21 preliminary injunctive relief.

22 It was not discussed in the Western District as
23 being a preclusive document for purposes of the entire case.

24 THE COURT: Again, I don't know quite how to
25 respond, because again, I wasn't the judge in this case since

1 its inception.

2 It would seem to me that if you were seeking a
3 preliminary injunction, you should be seeking a preliminary
4 injunction on all of the trade secrets.

5 MS. ANDOH: We're seeking a preliminary injunction
6 on preventing a further dissemination of all of the materials
7 that were taken.

8 As far as our criteria for likelihood of success
9 on the merits, we are trying to limit the volume of the
10 material we are presenting in a preliminary injunction motion,
11 enough to demonstrate our ability to succeed on that claim.

12 THE COURT: Let me ask, on what basis would you be
13 seeking an exclusion of dissemination of materials unless they
14 were trade secrets?

15 MS. ANDOH: The stuff -- the 1.4 million files that
16 were stolen. The vast majority of them were nonpublic.
17 Whether we choose to identify all of them as trade secrets for
18 the basis of our misappropriation claims, that is a different
19 issue than whether Skyryse retains the right to continue to
20 circulate our materials. That was the position that was taken
21 from the outset of the case.

22 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask then, it seems to
23 me that the first thing that still would need to be done is for
24 the plaintiff to identify the trade secrets information that
25 the parties have initially discussed to some extent.

1 So what I would do is I would require that the
2 plaintiff provide that information to the defendants by
3 February the 20th, and thereafter, I would want the parties to
4 discuss the pending motions.

5 I will not -- at this point in time, I don't
6 think I would have -- unless the parties want to discuss
7 potential motions, but if you want to, that is fine as well.

8 I will set this matter back on calendar for March
9 the 2nd for a status conference.

10 What I want is by February the 28th -- by
11 February 27th a joint report as to scheduling of the pending
12 motions, and if there is any other motions that the parties are
13 envisioning, to give me a schedule for that as well. I will
14 discuss that schedule with the parties on March the 2nd.

15 What I also want the parties to do is just to
16 give me what the parties feel would be the trial date in this
17 matter. I won't necessarily be setting that on March the 2nd,
18 but I am curious what the parties are envisioning the trial
19 date for.

20 Does either side have any questions?

21 MR. LUMISH: Your Honor, this is Doug Lumish for
22 Skyryse. Are you ordering an identification of trade secrets
23 for February 20th?

24 THE COURT: Yes. With the understanding that those
25 trade secrets would be the trade secrets in this case except to

1 the extent that the plaintiff can demonstrate that there was
2 some further discovery or something else that triggered the
3 revelation of additional trade secrets information that would
4 be the subject of this case.

5 For the Court to, at this point in time, throw
6 those trade secrets in this case as opposed to some other case,
7 the motion would have to be made under standards that would be
8 similar to Rule 16.

9 MR. LUMISH: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you very
10 much.

11 THE COURT: All right. Does anyone else have
12 anything to say?

13 No? All right. Thank you. Have a nice day.

14 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 MR. LUMISH: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 (The proceedings were concluded at 9:21 a.m.)

* * *

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
4 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

6 I, TERRI A. HOURIGAN, Federal Official Realtime
7 Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for
8 the Central District of California, do hereby certify that
9 pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the
10 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
11 stenographically reported proceedings held in the
12 above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
13 conformance with the regulations of the judicial conference of
14 the United States.

16 | Date: 25th day of January, 2023.

/s/ TERRI A. HOURIGAN

TERRI A. HOURIGAN, CSR NO. 3838, RPR, CRR
Federal Court Reporter

| / | 9:21 [1] - 17:16 | B | |
|--|--|---|---|
| /s [1] - 18:19 | | | concerned [2] - 7:11, 13:25 concluded [1] - 17:16 conducted [1] - 12:15 CONFERENCE [1] - 1:13 conference [4] - 4:10, 4:11, 16:9, 18:13 confidentiality [1] - 5:23 conflated [1] - 13:13 conflating [1] - 14:1 conformance [1] - 18:13 confused [1] - 13:14 conjunction [1] - 13:16 context [3] - 9:19, 14:11, 14:14 continue [2] - 13:3, 15:19 control [3] - 7:9, 8:6, 8:9 conventional [1] - 10:12 copies [1] - 10:22 copy [1] - 8:23 correct [5] - 4:15, 5:24, 8:12, 12:4, 18:10 COUNSEL [1] - 2:1 counsel [5] - 3:18, 3:19, 6:19, 7:23, 12:3 COUNTY [1] - 18:3 couple [1] - 4:12 COURT [22] - 1:1, 1:23, 3:10, 3:18, 3:23, 4:2, 4:6, 4:10, 4:17, 5:22, 6:1, 6:16, 7:22, 8:7, 10:9, 11:16, 12:17, 14:24, 15:12, 15:22, 16:24, 17:11 Court [11] - 3:8, 4:20, 5:10, 5:20, 6:4, 9:19, 10:7, 17:5, 18:7, 18:20 Court's [1] - 12:8 COURTROOM [4] - 3:7, 3:15, 3:22, 4:1 covered [1] - 12:24 criteria [1] - 15:8 crouched [1] - 12:20 CRR [1] - 18:20 CSR [2] - 1:22, 18:20 curious [1] - 16:18 current [1] - 5:7 custody [2] - 7:9, 8:6 |
| 1 | a.m [3] - 1:14, 3:2, 17:16 abeyance [1] - 6:25 ability [2] - 9:7, 15:11 able [5] - 6:9, 6:13, 9:20, 10:5, 14:20 above-entitled [1] - 18:12 access [2] - 10:1, 10:18 accused [1] - 10:15 action [1] - 11:20 additional [5] - 5:16, 11:22, 12:1, 12:12, 17:3 address [1] - 11:2 addressed [1] - 5:21 agree [4] - 6:21, 12:7, 12:11, 13:5 agreement [2] - 5:23, 8:2 al [1] - 1:8 ALIN [1] - 2:12 Alin [1] - 9:2 allowing [2] - 10:1, 12:1 almost [1] - 12:21 analysis [1] - 13:23 ANDOH [11] - 2:4, 4:8, 4:16, 5:5, 5:25, 6:4, 8:13, 12:5, 13:12, 15:5, 15:15 Andoh [4] - 3:17, 4:8, 5:6, 8:13 ANGELES [4] - 1:14, 1:24, 3:1, 18:3 answered [1] - 11:10 Anthony [1] - 8:1 ANTHONY [1] - 2:13 apologize [1] - 13:14 appear [1] - 3:14 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearing [1] - 3:15 argue [1] - 5:20 argument [2] - 9:23 assessment [1] - 12:8 Attorney [3] - 2:5, 2:9, 2:14 August [1] - 5:10 available [2] - 6:7, 6:14 aware [1] - 8:23 | | cut [1] - 9:7 CV [1] - 1:7 |
| 2 | | | D |
| 20 [2] - 14:11, 14:14 2022 [2] - 4:14, 12:19 2023 [3] - 1:13, 3:1, 18:16 20th [2] - 16:3, 16:23 213 [1] - 1:24 22-9094-GW [1] - 1:7 23 [2] - 1:13, 3:1 25 [1] - 4:14 25th [1] - 18:16 27th [1] - 16:11 28 [1] - 18:9 28th [1] - 16:10 2nd [3] - 16:9, 16:14, 16:17 | | | date [3] - 11:13, 16:16, 16:19 Date [1] - 18:16 defendant [2] - 7:23, 9:1 DEFENDANT [2] - 2:7, 2:12 defendant's [1] - 7:13 defendants [9] - 6:3, 6:20, 8:1, 8:21, 9:24, 11:9, 12:12, 14:17, 16:2 Defendants [1] - 1:9 defendants' [1] - 10:25 defense [7] - 3:18, 3:19, 4:2, 6:17, 7:23, 13:5 delay [3] - 7:5, 8:4, 11:1 demonstrate [3] - 14:7, 15:11, 17:1 demonstrating [1] - 14:3 demonstration [1] - 14:19 DEPUTY [4] - 3:7, 3:15, 3:22, 4:1 determination [1] - 13:8 device [1] - 9:2 different [4] - 8:14, 13:13, 13:23, 15:18 direction [1] - 7:15 disagree [1] - 11:5 discovery [9] - 5:7, 5:8, 6:6, 7:1, 7:13, 10:20, 11:22, 12:14, 17:2 discuss [3] - 16:4, 16:6, 16:14 discussed [2] - 14:22, 15:25 dissemination [2] - 15:6, 15:13 District [7] - 3:8, 4:20, 10:1, 12:6, 14:22, 18:7, 18:8 DISTRICT [3] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 DIVISION [1] - 1:2 Docket [2] - 4:14, 4:23 document [2] - 6:11, |
| 3 | | | |
| 30 [1] - 2:5 32nd [1] - 2:14 341 [1] - 4:23 350 [1] - 1:23 3838 [2] - 1:22, 18:20 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 4311 [1] - 1:23 45 [1] - 2:14 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 753 [1] - 18:9 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 894-2849 [1] - 1:24 8:30 [2] - 1:14, 3:2 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 90012 [1] - 1:24 94025 [1] - 2:10 | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| <p>14:23 documents [2] - 10:18, 10:21 done [3] - 4:19, 5:4, 15:23 Doug [3] - 4:3, 6:18, 16:21 DOUGLAS [1] - 2:9 drive [2] - 8:24, 10:15 Drive [1] - 2:10</p> | <p>9:2, 15:15 fine [1] - 16:7 firmly [1] - 13:3 first [3] - 6:23, 8:15, 15:23 FIRST [1] - 1:23 Floor [1] - 2:14 focus [1] - 9:16 folks [1] - 4:4 FOR [3] - 2:3, 2:7, 2:12 foregoing [1] - 18:10 forensic [1] - 9:3 format [1] - 18:12 forward [1] - 14:5 four [2] - 4:24, 6:11 Friday [1] - 6:9 full [2] - 11:10, 11:14 fully [4] - 6:6, 6:14, 10:20, 10:21</p> | <p>I</p> | <p>J</p> | <p>March [6] - 4:13, 12:19, 13:18, 16:8, 16:14, 16:17 material [2] - 14:9, 15:10 materials [9] - 5:16, 6:14, 10:2, 10:7, 10:16, 14:5, 15:6, 15:13, 15:20 matter [7] - 3:10, 3:23, 4:21, 13:4, 16:8, 16:17, 18:12 mean [1] - 13:6 Menlo [1] - 2:10 merits [3] - 14:4, 14:19, 15:9 might [1] - 10:11 military [1] - 14:10 million [3] - 8:17, 9:2, 15:15 misappropriate [1] - 13:23 misappropriation [4] - 14:4, 14:8, 14:20, 15:18 misunderstood [1] - 4:9 MONDAY [1] - 3:1 Monday [1] - 1:13 Moog [7] - 3:10, 3:23, 5:6, 5:9, 6:1, 7:9, 10:21 MOOG [1] - 1:5 Moog's [4] - 5:14, 8:25, 9:6, 13:20 morning [1] - 6:18 most [4] - 5:7, 5:11, 8:22, 9:11 motion [8] - 9:18, 9:25, 11:4, 11:24, 12:23, 14:12, 15:10, 17:7 motions [9] - 4:24, 4:25, 5:3, 5:11, 5:21, 16:4, 16:7, 16:12 move [1] - 14:5 MR [9] - 4:3, 6:18, 7:25, 10:8, 10:10, 16:21, 17:9, 17:14, 17:15 MS [10] - 4:8, 4:16, 5:5, 5:25, 6:4, 8:13, 12:5, 13:12, 15:5, 15:15 MULLIN [1] - 2:4 mutual [1] - 9:3</p> |
| <p>E</p> <p>earth [1] - 10:16 earth-shatteringly [1] - 10:16 Eastern [1] - 4:20 either [1] - 16:20 employee [1] - 6:8 employees [1] - 10:13 end [1] - 13:18 entire [1] - 14:23 entirety [1] - 7:10 entitled [1] - 18:12 envisioning [2] - 16:13, 16:18 essence [1] - 13:2 established [1] - 13:9 et [3] - 1:8, 13:1 events [1] - 8:22 evidence [1] - 14:7 example [1] - 6:7 except [1] - 16:25 exclusion [1] - 15:13 existence [1] - 8:24 experience [1] - 8:16 explanation [1] - 11:7 extent [3] - 11:17, 15:25, 17:1</p> | <p>G</p> <p>GEORGE [1] - 1:3 given [1] - 12:21 GREEN [3] - 2:13, 7:25, 17:14 Green [1] - 8:1 guess [2] - 4:17, 4:24</p> | <p>H</p> <p>HAMPTON [1] - 2:4 happy [1] - 6:22 hard [2] - 8:24, 10:15 hear [2] - 6:16, 7:22 hearing [2] - 9:19, 12:14 held [2] - 6:25, 18:11 hereby [1] - 18:8 hindsight [3] - 7:11, 7:14, 9:23 Honor [20] - 4:3, 4:8, 4:16, 5:5, 5:25, 6:4, 6:18, 7:4, 7:11, 7:25, 8:13, 10:8, 10:10, 11:2, 12:5, 13:12, 16:21, 17:9, 17:14, 17:15 HONORABLE [1] - 1:3 hoped [1] - 7:15 HOURIGAN [4] - 1:22, 18:6, 18:19, 18:20 housed [1] - 9:3</p> | <p>I</p> <p>ID [1] - 14:15 idea [2] - 9:5, 9:22 identification [16] - 5:13, 5:15, 5:19, 6:10, 6:24, 7:3, 8:3, 9:14, 9:25, 10:3, 10:6, 11:3, 12:10, 13:17, 14:14, 16:22 identifications [1] - 6:2 identified [2] - 4:4, 13:7 identifies [1] - 7:14 identify [6] - 9:11, 10:24, 12:13, 13:3, 15:17, 15:24 identifying [2] - 5:9, 14:6 iDS [2] - 9:3, 10:20 INC [3] - 1:5, 1:8, 2:7 Inc [2] - 3:10, 3:23 inception [1] - 15:1 indicating [1] - 4:25 individual [7] - 6:20, 8:1, 8:21, 9:1, 9:24, 10:25, 11:8 information [7] - 8:8, 8:17, 13:1, 13:21, 15:24, 16:2, 17:3 informing [1] - 4:18 injunction [17] - 9:12, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 11:4, 12:11, 12:14, 12:23, 13:8, 13:11, 13:16, 13:20, 14:12, 15:3, 15:4, 15:5, 15:10 injunctive [1] - 14:21 inspect [1] - 10:21 instead [1] - 7:15 instruction [1] - 4:9 intend [1] - 9:11 intended [1] - 14:18 intention [1] - 4:25 interjected [1] - 12:1 interrogatory [4] - 11:6, 11:9, 11:15 involved [3] - 8:11, 14:9, 14:10 issue [4] - 3:20, 5:18, 12:6, 15:19 issued [3] - 5:10, 9:13, 10:2 issues [2] - 13:13, 14:2 items [1] - 13:9</p> | <p>J</p> <p>JANUARY [1] - 3:1 January [2] - 1:13, 18:16 joint [4] - 4:18, 4:23, 9:4, 16:11 judge [1] - 14:25 JUDGE [1] - 1:3 Judge [1] - 4:15 judicial [1] - 18:13</p> |
| <p>F</p> <p>fact [3] - 10:13, 10:17, 13:9 fairly [1] - 10:12 familiar [1] - 7:12 far [2] - 13:24, 15:8 February [4] - 16:3, 16:10, 16:11, 16:23 FEDERAL [1] - 1:23 Federal [2] - 18:6, 18:20 fees [1] - 9:21 few [1] - 8:14 filed [2] - 4:13, 5:3 files [4] - 8:18, 8:20,</p> | <p>K</p> <p>kind [2] - 12:21, 13:4 known [1] - 13:20</p> | <p>L</p> <p>large [3] - 6:21, 8:19, 10:6 last [1] - 5:17 LATHAM [1] - 2:8 Latham [1] - 6:8 latter [1] - 11:16 Law [3] - 2:5, 2:9, 2:14 lawsuit [1] - 7:16 least [1] - 9:16 left [1] - 10:13 level [1] - 7:17 likelihood [3] - 14:3, 14:19, 15:8 limit [1] - 15:9 limited [2] - 12:15, 13:10 line [4] - 3:16, 5:9, 14:13 line-by-line [1] - 14:13 listing [1] - 4:23 litigated [1] - 12:7 litigating [1] - 13:7 litigation [1] - 7:12 LLP [2] - 2:8, 2:13 looking [1] - 13:19 LOS [4] - 1:14, 1:24, 3:1, 18:3 Lumish [5] - 4:3, 6:19, 8:2, 10:9, 16:21 LUMISH [8] - 2:9, 4:3, 6:18, 10:8, 10:10, 16:21, 17:9, 17:15 Lumish's [1] - 12:8</p> | <p>M</p> <p>majority [1] - 15:16 manageable [1] - 9:19</p> | <p>N</p> <p>namely [1] - 8:19</p> |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <p>narrative [1] - 11:7 nature [1] - 8:19 necessarily [2] - 9:7, 16:17 need [2] - 5:15, 15:23 needed [2] - 5:17, 7:2 needs [2] - 5:4, 10:17 neutral [1] - 10:20 New [5] - 2:6, 2:15, 4:20 new [2] - 7:18, 10:16 next [2] - 6:11, 11:18 nice [1] - 17:13 NO [2] - 1:22, 18:20 nonpublic [1] - 15:16 note [1] - 9:22 notice [1] - 14:17 notion [1] - 11:3 number [4] - 6:7, 8:19, 9:18, 14:10 Number [1] - 4:14</p> | <p>P</p> <p>page [1] - 18:12 Park [1] - 2:10 part [4] - 6:21, 8:18, 9:11, 9:16 particular [1] - 9:8 parties [10] - 4:17, 4:24, 15:25, 16:3, 16:6, 16:12, 16:14, 16:15, 16:16, 16:18 parties' [1] - 9:4 party [2] - 5:17, 6:8 past [1] - 4:19 pattern [2] - 10:13, 10:17 pending [7] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:8, 5:11, 5:20, 16:4, 16:11 permitted [1] - 9:13 perspective [1] - 5:14 phase [2] - 9:15, 12:11 phone [1] - 3:19 PI [1] - 11:11 PILKINGTON [1] - 2:12 Pilkington [1] - 9:2 place [1] - 14:17 plaintiff [10] - 3:12, 3:13, 3:25, 4:7, 4:9, 7:13, 11:17, 15:24, 16:2, 17:1 PLAINTIFF [1] - 2:3 plaintiff's [1] - 12:3 Plaintiffs [1] - 1:6 planned [1] - 14:11 Plaza [1] - 2:5 point [9] - 5:20, 9:12, 11:16, 14:6, 14:11, 14:16, 14:17, 16:5, 17:5 points [2] - 8:14, 10:11 position [5] - 5:18, 9:10, 10:5, 12:18, 15:20 positions [1] - 4:19 possession [8] - 7:9, 7:19, 8:6, 8:8, 8:25, 9:6, 10:24, 10:25 possibly [1] - 7:2 potential [1] - 16:7 precludes [1] - 12:25 preclusive [1] - 14:23 preliminary [18] - 9:12, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 11:4, 12:11, 12:14,</p> | <p>12:23, 13:7, 13:11, 13:16, 13:20, 14:12, 14:21, 15:3, 15:5, 15:10 prepared [1] - 14:5 present [1] - 14:11 presenting [2] - 9:17, 15:10 presume [3] - 5:22, 8:7, 11:25 preventing [1] - 15:6 previously [1] - 5:3 problem [1] - 7:11 procedures [1] - 4:19 proceedings [2] - 17:16, 18:11 process [2] - 5:17, 6:15 produce [1] - 6:9 produced [2] - 7:8, 7:19 produces [1] - 11:22 productions [1] - 6:8 programs [3] - 14:10, 14:11, 14:14 proposed [2] - 4:13, 4:14 provide [2] - 10:5, 16:2 provided [2] - 6:2, 7:1 providing [1] - 11:18 public [1] - 13:20 purpose [2] - 9:16, 12:10 purposes [4] - 12:13, 13:7, 13:23, 14:23 pursuant [2] - 5:19, 18:9 pursuing [1] - 9:12 putting [2] - 5:2, 14:7</p> | <p>regulations [1] - 18:13 rejected [1] - 10:1 relatively [1] - 8:15 relief [2] - 13:15, 14:21 rely [1] - 9:11 remain [1] - 3:7 Rena [1] - 4:8 RENA [1] - 2:4 report [6] - 4:11, 4:18, 4:22, 4:23, 9:4, 16:11 reported [1] - 18:11 REPORTER [2] - 1:23, 18:1 Reporter [2] - 18:7, 18:20 REPORTER'S [1] - 1:12 represented [1] - 6:8 require [1] - 16:1 reserve [1] - 7:20 reserving [1] - 11:21 respect [1] - 13:19 respond [1] - 14:25 response [2] - 6:16, 11:14 rest [1] - 13:25 restricted [1] - 13:24 retain [1] - 6:13 retains [1] - 15:19 revelation [1] - 17:3 RICHTER [1] - 2:4 ROBERT [1] - 2:12 Rockefeller [1] - 2:5 ROOM [1] - 1:23 roots [1] - 7:13 RPR [1] - 18:20 Rule [1] - 17:8 Rule-16 [1] - 11:25</p> | <p>secret [20] - 5:13, 5:15, 5:19, 6:2, 6:10, 6:24, 7:3, 7:12, 8:8, 8:23, 9:14, 9:25, 10:2, 10:6, 11:3, 11:7, 12:10, 13:17, 13:22, 14:15 secrets [24] - 5:9, 7:14, 8:10, 9:6, 9:17, 11:19, 11:23, 12:1, 12:13, 13:4, 13:6, 13:10, 14:6, 14:18, 15:4, 15:14, 15:17, 15:24, 16:22, 16:25, 17:3, 17:6 Section [1] - 18:9 seek [2] - 11:11, 12:24 seeking [5] - 13:15, 15:2, 15:3, 15:5, 15:13 seem [1] - 15:2 sense [2] - 12:18, 12:25 separate [1] - 6:19 session [1] - 3:9 set [2] - 5:19, 16:8 sets [1] - 8:23 setting [1] - 16:17 several [1] - 4:4 shatteringly [1] - 10:16 SHEPPARD [1] - 2:4 side [1] - 16:20 sides [1] - 4:19 sift [1] - 10:23 signed [2] - 4:15, 5:23 similar [2] - 13:19, 17:8 simply [1] - 5:1 situation [2] - 11:24, 12:21 size [1] - 9:18 SKYRYSE [2] - 1:8, 2:7 Skyryse [8] - 3:11, 3:24, 4:4, 6:19, 9:24, 11:8, 15:19, 16:22 Skyryse's [1] - 6:8 someone [1] - 3:12 sorry [3] - 4:8, 5:6, 10:9 SPADOFORA [1] - 2:13 speaking [3] - 4:4, 5:6, 6:19 special [1] - 10:17 specific [1] - 13:17 spoliated [1] - 8:20</p> |
| | <p>Q</p> <p>questions [2] - 4:12, 16:20 quite [1] - 14:24</p> | <p>R</p> <p>raised [1] - 9:23 really [2] - 5:15, 6:24 Realtime [1] - 18:6 reason [1] - 12:19 received [2] - 5:16, 6:7 record [1] - 7:24 referenced [1] - 9:4 regards [1] - 4:25</p> | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| standards [1] - 17:7 started [1] - 4:5 STATE [1] - 18:4 States [4] - 3:8, 18:7, 18:9, 18:14 STATES [1] - 1:1 status [7] - 4:10, 4:11, 4:18, 4:23, 5:7, 9:4, 16:9 STATUS [1] - 1:13 stayed [1] - 5:8 stenographically [1] - 18:11 still [4] - 5:12, 6:6, 6:14, 15:23 stipulated [1] - 13:18 stipulation [2] - 4:15, 12:16 stole [1] - 8:21 stolen [4] - 8:18, 8:19, 14:6, 15:16 stop [1] - 12:17 strange [1] - 8:22 STREET [1] - 1:23 strenuously [1] - 11:5 stuff [2] - 7:15, 15:15 subject [3] - 11:19, 13:4, 17:4 submit [1] - 6:10 subset [1] - 14:5 substantially [1] - 12:7 succeed [1] - 15:11 success [3] - 14:4, 14:19, 15:8 sufficient [1] - 14:17 sufficiently [1] - 13:9 supplement [6] - 6:5, 6:13, 7:7, 9:21, 11:23, 11:24 supplemental [1] - 7:18 supplementation [3] - 7:7, 7:20, 8:4 support [1] - 9:17 supposed [1] - 3:14 supposedly [1] - 11:18 suspended [2] - 5:12 | utilize [2] - 11:25, 13:2 |
| | V |
| | vast [1] - 15:16 vendor [1] - 10:20 versus [2] - 3:11, 3:23 view [1] - 11:15 volume [4] - 8:16, 10:6, 14:9, 15:9 vs [1] - 1:7 |
| | W |
| | waiting [2] - 6:14, 7:2 waiver [1] - 9:20 wants [1] - 7:23 WATKINS [1] - 2:8 weeds [1] - 9:8 week [1] - 5:17 weeks [2] - 6:11, 11:19 WEST [1] - 1:23 Western [3] - 9:25, 12:6, 14:22 willing [1] - 6:5 WINGET [1] - 2:13 words [1] - 13:6 worth [1] - 9:8 WU [1] - 1:3 |
| | Y |
| | year [2] - 10:18, 10:23 York [5] - 2:6, 2:15, 4:20 |
| U | |
| TELEPHONIC [1] - 1:13 terms [2] - 12:21, 14:3 TERRI [4] - 1:22, 18:6, 18:19, 18:20 THE [27] - 2:3, 2:7, | U.S [1] - 1:3 under [1] - 17:7 understood [2] - 14:16, 17:9 unique [1] - 8:15 uniquely [1] - 9:6 UNITED [1] - 1:1 United [4] - 3:8, 18:7, 18:9, 18:14 unless [2] - 15:13, 16:6 |